ELECTROCHEMICAL NO\textsubscript{x} REDUCTION USING A CARBON NANOSPIKED CATALYST

By
Shikha Patel

Soubantika Palchoudhury
Professor of Chemical Engineering
(Chair)

Charles Margraves
Professor of Mechanical Engineering
(Committee Member)

Adam Rondinone
Professor of Chemistry
(Co-chair)
ELECTROCHEMICAL NO$_x$ REDUCTION USING A CARBON NANOSPIKED CATALYST

By

Shikha Paresh Patel

A Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of
the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of Master of Science: Engineering

The University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Chattanooga, Tennessee

December 2016
ABSTRACT

Electrochemical NO\textsubscript{x} reduction was studied using a novel, nanostructured nitrogen-doped carbon nanospikes catalyst (CNS). NO\textsubscript{x} is a major environment and health concern that is typically eliminated using noble-metal gas-phase catalytic reactors. Alternatively, an electrocatalytic approach may reduce NO\textsubscript{x} to N\textsubscript{2} using electric potential and reaction, foregoing noble metal catalysis. For these studies nitrate (\textit{NO}_3^-) and nitrite (\textit{NO}_2^-) (the end products of dissolved NO\textsubscript{x} in water) were used as surrogate reagents. Basic solutions were more favorable than acidic solutions for nitrate reduction. The nitrate reduction reaction with three different electrolytes, Lithium hydroxide, Sodium hydroxide, and Potassium hydroxide has been compared. Identification and quantification tests for nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia indicated that nitrite is an intermediate product during nitrate reduction. The final product with sodium hydroxide is ammonia. Potassium hydroxide and lithium hydroxide suggested no formation of ammonia. However, it suggested a possibility of formation of nitrogen and oxygen.
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Abundant amount of Nitrogen Oxide (NO\textsubscript{x}) emission is of a major concern because of its harmful health and environmental effects. It is known to be the primary cause for smog and acid rain (Jiang, Luo, & Li, 2007; Krivoruchenko, Telegina, Bokarev, & Stakheev, 2015; Sheridan et al., 2014). It is also known to cause low lung function and increased risk of cancer (O'Driscoll et al., 2016). One of the major source of NO\textsubscript{x} pollutant is car exhaust stream. In the past decade, US, EU, China, Japan, and several other countries have constantly lowered the emission limit for NO\textsubscript{x} as a remediation measure. In the New England states, NO\textsubscript{x} emission control was implemented sequentially through the reasonably available control technology (RACT) and ozone transport region (OTR) NO\textsubscript{x} cap and allowance trading program. Further, to address long-range NO\textsubscript{x} transport outside the OTR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identified and evaluated specific regulation strategies through a two-year effort in partnership with the eastern-US states, industry representatives, and environmental groups ("Nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) control regulations," 2016). As part of this NO\textsubscript{x} SIP rule, EPA designed a “cap and trade” regulation model to achieve the specified NO\textsubscript{x} level.

To address the lowering NO\textsubscript{x} emission limits, several different catalysts have been investigated (Shimazu et al., 2007). Catalysts such as rhodium, platinum, and palladium are commonly used in the process of NO\textsubscript{x} reduction. Catalytic activity essentially gives a measure of
the NO\textsubscript{x} reduction reaction and the final catalyst performance. Ideally, a high catalytic activity, increased surface area, and wide temperature range for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction reactions are desired in a catalyst. Catalyst composition and physical properties are major influences on the catalyst performance, reliability, and cost.

1.1 Current Systems and Drawbacks

1.1.1 Selective Catalytic Reduction

Current methods include selective catalytic reduction where a liquid reductant reagent is introduced. Commonly in a diesel engine, diesel exhaust fluid (DEF) called Adblue is added. Adblue is composed of around 32.5% urea and 67.5% deionized water (Katsounaros et al., 2012). Adblue is injected in the exhaust stream which sets off a chemical reaction that converts NO\textsubscript{x} into Nitrogen and Water in the presence of a catalyst. Most of the catalysts investigated here so far are rare and expensive metals. One of the major disadvantages of this system is the expensive catalysts. The other disadvantage is that the catalytic converters only operate at high temperatures. Temperature is one of the biggest limitation for SCR.

Some other disadvantages include SCR being sensitive to contamination. Apart from being expensive, it also has finite life. Another possible issue that can occur is the ammonia slip, where excess ammonia is injected into the system. This method also requires a continuous supply of the reductant into the exhaust.

1.1.2 NO\textsubscript{x} adsorbers

This method isn’t a stand by method. This is normally used along with selective catalytic reduction. This method involves storage of nitrogen oxides on the catalyst during lean condition
and release during rich condition. One of the major drawbacks of using a NO\textsubscript{x} adsorber is the emptying out of the washcoat.

1.2 Purpose of the study

The purpose of the study is to investigate a new catalyst using an electrochemical approach. The catalyst investigated here is composed of carbon and nitrogen. This catalyst has been designed for the first time by Rondinone \textit{et. Al} (Sheridan et al., 2014). The key advantage of this catalyst is that these electrodes wouldn’t need complicated pretreatment or activation procedures (Sheridan et al., 2014). NO\textsubscript{x} reduction is studied using this novel nanostructured nitrogen-doped carbon nanospikes catalyst (CNS) for the first time. Nitrate ($NO_3^-$) and Nitrite ($NO_2^-$), the end products of dissolved NO\textsubscript{x} in water, were used as surrogate reagents. One promising approach is electrochemical reduction of nitrate. Using an electrochemical approach would eliminate the need of injecting urea. It would simplify the process. It would also eliminate the need to operate at significantly high temperatures. The reduction wouldn’t require high temperatures or expensive catalysts. Figure 1.2.1 shows a typical denitrification cycle.

![Typical Denitrification Cycle](image-url)

---

Figure 1.2.1 Typical Denitrification Cycle
2.1 Reagents

The stock solutions were prepared using 18 MΩ ultrapure water supplied by milipore. 50mM $H_2SO_4$, 1M $NaOH$, 50mM $LiOH$, 50mM $KOH$, and 50mM $NaOH$ blank stock solutions were prepared by direct dissolution in water. All the blank experiments were carried out without the addition of nitrate ($NO_3^-$). Nitrate stock solutions were prepared by direct dissolution of nitrate in blank stock solutions. Tests with $NO_3^-$ in the blank stock solutions featured the addition of $NO_3^-$ in the form of Potassium nitrate ($KNO_3$), and Lithium nitrate ($LiNO_3$). Table 2.1.1 shows the composition of the stock solutions with $NO_3^-$. All the experiments were carried out at ambient temperature and pressure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM H$_2$SO$_4$. 8mM KNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. 8mM KNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. 8mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. 20mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. 50mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. 70mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM LiOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM LiOH. 8mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM KOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM KOH. 8mM LiNO$_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Preparation of the electrode

A nanostructured nitrogen doped carbon catalyst was investigated. Figure 1 shows the transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of the Carbon nanospikes. The Plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) is used in fabricating the carbon nanospiked (CNS) Si wafers (Sheridan et al., 2014). The carbon nanospikes coated Si wafer was cut into 1 cm$^2$ sections. Indium is a conductive post transition metal. It was used to ensure that the current passes from the copper wire to the electrode surface. Silver paint is used as an adhesive for the copper wire. Industrial grade epoxy is used to cover the uncoated side of the Si wafer. It is also used to seal the copper wire to the CNS itself. This is done so that only the surface of the CNS electrode is exposed to the electrolyte. This helps prevent the reaction from occurring anywhere other than the surface. Figure 2.2.2 shows the image and the schematic of the CNS electrode.
Figure 2.2.1 Representative TEM images of the CNS electrode. The inset is a HR-TEM image of an individual spike of CNS. Reproduced with permission from J. Electrochem. Soc., 161, H558 (2014).
2.3 Electrochemical Cell

Typically, an electrochemical cell is where a working electrode, reference electrode, and a counter electrode are immersed in a solution, and the potential difference between the electrodes is varied by means of an external power supply (Bard & Faulkner, 2004). This variation in potential, $E$, can produce a current flow in the external circuit, because electrons...
transfer across the electrode/solution interface as the reaction takes place (Bard & Faulkner, 2004). Reactions like these are governed by Faraday’s law (i.e., the amount of chemical reaction occurred is proportional to the electricity passed) (Bard & Faulkner, 2004).

2.3.1 Preparation of an Electrochemical Cell

Electrochemical characterization was done using the nanostructured nitrogen-doped carbon catalyst as the working electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a platinum mesh counter electrode. A three-electrode electro catalytic cell is set up to accurately measure the current characteristics of the carbon nanospiked electrode. This helps us carefully control and measure the potential and current through the cell ("Two, three and four electrode experiments,"). The reference electrode has a stable and well-known potential. The reference electrode helps determine the potential of the working electrode. The counter electrode ensures that the current does not pass through the reference electrode. Electrolyte is necessary because it provides ions, which assures the conductivity of the solution. The solutions were deoxygenated by bubbling helium for at least 30 minutes.
Figure 2.3.1 A picture of the electrochemical cell

2.4 Instruments

Electrochemical analysis was done using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA). Electrochemical measurements were performed using a Biologic VSP potentiostat (Biol-logic USA, LLC) controlled by a personal computer equipped with EC-Lab software. Cyclic Voltammetry was performed to determine the electrochemical potential of the reaction. In cyclic voltammetry, the voltage is swept between two predetermined values at a fixed scan rate (Jiang et al., 2007). Once it reaches the first value, the scan is reversed and the voltage is swept back to the first value. This helps us determine the reduction potential of the ongoing electrochemical reaction. The potential of the working electrode determines if any redox reactions
occur at the surface of the electrode ("Cyclic voltammetry,"). Once the reduction potential is determined, chronoamperometry is performed.

Chronoamperometry is one of the most used electroanalytical technique. The electrode potential is stepped from an initial potential to the final potential. At the initial potential, no significant current flows through the working electrode, however, after stepping the potential to the final potential the analyte begins to be consumed at the electrode surface via reduction. The potential is set in chronoamperometry so the reduction can possibly go to completion at the surface electrode (Bard & Faulkner, 2004). Faraday’s law governs this process. In Faraday’s law, the amount of substance produced at the electrode is directly proportional to the electric current passed i.e. for every mole of nitrate being reduced at the electrode there is a mole of electrons leaving or entering the electrode. Per Allen J. Bard et. al. “The relationship between charge and amount of product formed is given by Faraday's law; that is, the passage of 96,485.4 C causes 1 equivalent of reaction (e.g., consumption of 1 mole of reactant or production of 1 mole of product in a one-electron reaction).” Faradaic law provides the link between current and analyte concentration (Shimazu et al., 2007).

The Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was used for imaging and elemental analyses of the electrode. This was used in order to study the difference between the surface composition of the electrode before the reaction and after the reaction.

2.5 Quantification of Nitrate, Nitrite, and Ammonia

Ammonia was quantified using salicylate-hypochlorite test. API Ammonia test kit was used to quantify the ammonia. Solution 1 contains the sodium salicylate and polyethylene glycol.
Solution 2 contains sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite ("Ammonia," 2016). Ammonia reacts with hypochlorite ions to form monochloroamine, which further reacts with salicylate to give 5-amino salicylate ("Ammonia," 2016). 5-amino salicylate creates varying shades of green. Quantification of nitrate and nitrite was done using the Tetra easy strips. The test strips indicated the amount in ppm.
CHAPTER 3
RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The reduction of nitrate at a carbon nanospike electrode was studied in various media using Cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry. The studies were carried out in 1 M NaOH, 50 mM H₂SO₄, 50 mM NaOH, 50 mM KOH, or 50 mM LiOH with additions of varying concentrations of $\text{NO}_3^{-}$.

3.1 Using Acid as an Electrolyte and Potassium nitrate as the nitrate donor

The purpose of an electrolyte is to allow ion flow between the cathode and the anode in order to balance the charges so that the reaction can continue. Acids, bases, and salts act as good electrolytes as they can form ions when dissolved in water. These solutions conduct electricity due to the mobility of the cations and anions. However, choosing a strong acid over a weak acid is more efficient because it dissociates completely in water providing the maximum number of ions for the reduction process (Bard & Faulkner, 2004).

3.1.1 Using 50 mM H₂SO₄, and 50 mM H₂SO₄. 8 mM KNO₃ as the electrolytes

Strong electrolytes are fully dissociated when dissolved in water. Here, sulfuric acid was selected as a model strong electrolyte because it is a strong acid. Potassium nitrate was chosen to be the nitrate donor. Blank experiments were conducted using just the sulfuric acid first, and then the nitrate was added to the solution. Table 3.1.1 shows the quantitative test results before
and after the reaction. We detected the presence of nitrite using the tetra test strips. Based on this initial analysis, no nitrate or ammonia was detected in the control experiment with just H₂SO₄, as expected. However, the absence of nitrite or ammonia with the nitrogen donor (KNO₃) and H₂SO₄, suggests that the nitrate reduction reaction is not facilitated in these conditions. To investigate the implications of these initial results with KNO₃ as the nitrate donor, cyclic voltammetry studies were conducted.

Table 3.1.1 Quantitative tests before and after the reaction with 50 mM H₂SO₄ and 8 mM KNO₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Reaction</th>
<th>After Reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ammonia (ppm)</td>
<td>Nitrite (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ammonia (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄, 8mM KNO₃</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.1.1 compares the Cyclic Voltammograms observed for the carbon nanospiked electrode at 100mV s⁻¹ in 50 mM H₂SO₄ and 50 mM H₂SO₄, 8 mM KNO₃ as the electrolyte. Voltammograms of 50mM H₂SO₄ were recorded at different scan rates to establish reference behavior without the addition of the nitrate. Lack of peak suggests that the reaction might not have occurred. There was no activity for nitrate reduction on carbon nanospiked electrode. The possible noise could be due to excess helium bubbles in the solution.
Figure 3.1.1 Redox behavior of CNS with 50 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ and 50 mM H$_2$SO$_4$. 8 mM KNO$_3$ as the electrolyte. Scan Rate 100mV s$^{-1}$. The voltammogram represents 4$^{th}$ cycle.

3.2 Using Base as an electrolyte with Potassium nitrate as the nitrate donor

After testing the strong acid as an electrolyte, a strong base was tested as the electrolyte.

3.2.1 Using 1 M NaOH and 1 M NaOH. 8 mM KNO$_3$

Table 3.2.1 shows quantitative tests before and after the cyclic voltammograms were conducted. We detected the presence of nitrite using the tetra test strips, and the presence of ammonia using the salicylate-hypochlorite test. Based on this initial analysis, no nitrate or ammonia was detected in the control experiment with just NaOH, as expected. However, the absence of nitrite or ammonia with the nitrogen donor (KNO$_3$) and NaOH, suggests that the nitrate
reduction reaction is not facilitated in these conditions. To investigate the implications of these initial results with KNO₃ as the nitrate donor, cyclic voltammetry studies were conducted.

Table 3.2.1 Quantitative tests before and after the reaction with 1 M NaOH and 8 mM KNO₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Reaction</th>
<th>After Reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM KNO₃</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voltammograms of 1M NaOH were recorded at different scan rates to establish reference behavior without the addition of the nitrate. Figure 3.2.1 compares the Cyclic Voltammograms observed for the carbon nanospiked electrode at 100mV s⁻¹ in 1M NaOH and 1M NaOH. 8mM KNO₃ as the electrolyte. The representative scan rate was the best suitable scan rate amongst the ones tested. The predominant sharp peak towards the negative sweep is possibly due to hydrogen adsorption (Casella & Contrusi, 2014). It inhibits the reduction of nitrates at potentials that execute a high hydrogen coverage (Dima, A de Vooys, & Koper, 2003). The noise could be possibly due to the air bubbles at the working electrode.
Figure 3.2.1 Redox behavior of CNS with 50mM NaOH and 50mM NaOH. 8mM LiNO$_3$ as the electrolyte. Scan rate 100mV s$^{-1}$. The voltammogram represent 2$^{nd}$ cycle.

Therefore, the change in electrolyte did not show any statistically significant change in initiating the NO$_x$ reduction reaction, as suggested by the absence of nitrite or ammonia in both NaOH and H$_2$SO$_4$ based reactions. Our next step was to investigate the effect of nitrate donor ions on the NO$_x$ reduction reaction. We chose LiNO$_3$ as our second nitrate donor because it is a better reducing agent.
3.3 Using Acid as an electrolyte with Lithium nitrate as the nitrate donor

3.3.1 Using 50 mM H₂SO₄, and 50 mM H₂SO₄, 8 mM LiNO₃ as the electrolyte

After getting negative results, another nitrate donor was investigated. Table 3.3.1 shows the quantitative tests before and after the reaction. Presence of nitrite suggested that some reaction had occurred, but lack of ammonia possibly contradicted the assumption. Results suggest that nitrite could be the intermediate product.

Table 3.3.1 Quantitative tests before and after the reaction with 50 mM H₂SO₄ and 8 mM LiNO₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Reaction</th>
<th>After Reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>80-160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.3.1 compares the Cyclic Voltammograms observed for the carbon nanospiked electrode at 100mV s⁻¹ in 50 mM H₂SO₄ and 50 mM H₂SO₄, 8 mM KNO₃ as the electrolyte. Lack of a strong peak at higher potentials also suggests lack of nitrate reduction. The predominant sharp current drop towards the negative sweep is possibly due to the hydrogen adsorption. Hydrogen adsorption possibly contaminates the surface of the electrode thereby hindering the nitrate reduction (Casella & Contrusi, 2014).
Figure 3.3.1 Redox behavior of CNS with 50 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ and 50 mM H$_2$SO$_4$. 8 mM LiNO$_3$ as the electrolyte. Scan rate 100mV s$^{-1}$. The voltammogram represent 3rd cycle.

3.4 Using Base as an electrolyte with Lithium nitrate as the nitrate donor

3.4.1 Using 1 M NaOH and 1 M NaOH. 8 mM LiNO$_3$

After getting negative results while testing certain acids and bases as electrolyte, a strong base was tested with lithium nitrate. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded for sodium hydroxide, and sodium hydroxide with nitrate in it. Table 3.4.1 shows the quantitative tests before and after the cyclic voltammograms and chronoamperometry were recorded. It suggests the presence of ammonia and nitrite. Usually use of acid or base as an electrolyte helps maintain a constant pH. However, pH was still recorded to ensure that the reaction wasn’t pH forwarding. The low pH
produces less reduction of $NO_3^-$ because the electro reduction reaction favors the production of $H_2$ gas.

Results suggest that nitrite is the intermediate product while ammonia could be the end product of the reaction. The need for lithium is consistent with literature reports for the electrochemical formation of ammonia from $N_2$ gas (Giddey, Badwal, & Kulkarni, 2013; Kordali, Kyriacou, & Lambrou, 2000). The detailed mechanism of the reaction is currently under investigation.

Table 3.4.1 Quantitative tests before and after the reaction with 1 mM NaOH and 8mM LiNO$_3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Nitrate (ppm)</th>
<th>Ammonia (ppm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Nitrate (ppm)</th>
<th>Nitrite (ppm)</th>
<th>Ammonia</th>
<th>pH (WE)</th>
<th>pH (CE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>13.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM LiNO$_3$</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4.1 compares the Cyclic Voltammograms observed for the carbon nanospiked electrode at 5 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1 M NaOH and 1M NaOH. 8 mM LiNO$_3$ as the electrolyte. Figure 7 compares the blank experiment and the one with the presence of nitrate. The reduction starts at -1.5 V. Voltammograms were run at several different scan rates. Chronoamperometry was performed for 15 h at -1.5 V to possibly have the reaction to go to completion.
Equations below show the possible reaction mechanism for the nitrate reduction, based on our cyclic voltammogram data.

\[
\text{NO}_3^- + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + H_2O
\]

\[
\text{NO}_2^- + 7H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + 2H_2O
\]

\[
\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{NO}_2^- \rightarrow N_2 + H_2O
\]

Figure 3.4.1 Redox behavior of CNS with 50 mM NaOH and 50 mM NaOH, 8 mM LiNO₃ as the electrolyte. Scan Rate 5mV s⁻¹. The voltammograms represent the 3rd cycle.
Figure 3.4.2 shows the SEM image of the carbon nanospike electrode after the reaction. The TEM of the CNS before the reaction showed the curled tips, however the SEM after the reaction shows a visibly different topography. Presence of salts can be seen on the surface of the electrode.

Figure 3.4.2 SEM of Carbon nanospike electrode after the reaction.

3.4.2 Comparing different concentrations of nitrate

After getting positive results using lithium as the nitrate donor, and a strong base as the electrolyte, the concentration of the nitrate was fluctuated to see how it affected the reaction. Table 3.4.2 shows the quantitative tests before and after the reaction. No presence of ammonia was found with higher concentrations of nitrate.
Another possibility is that excess nitrite reacts with ammonia ion to further produce nitrogen and water. Further tests need to be done to quantify and confirm the nitrogen production.

Table 3.4.2 Quantitative tests before and after running CV’s and CA with 1 M NaOH and different concentrations of LiNO₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Reaction</th>
<th>After Reaction</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Reaction</th>
<th>After Reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
<td>Ammonia (ppm)</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
<td>Ammonia (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. LiNO₃</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4.3 compares the Cyclic Voltammograms observed for the carbon nanospiked electrode at 5mV s⁻¹ in 1 M NaOH and 1 M NaOH with different concentrations of LiNO₃ as the electrolyte. Red line represents the voltammogram of 1 M NaOH with 8 mM of LiNO₃. Blue line represents the voltammogram of 1 M NaOH with 20 mM LiNO₃. Pink line represents the voltammogram of 1 M NaOH with 50 mM LiNO₃, and green line represents the voltammogram of 1 M NaOH with 70 mM LiNO₃. Typically, with increase in the concentration of the nitrate the current vs. potential becomes more negative. However, there is an exception with 20 mM LiNO₃ possibly due to faradaic losses.
Faradaic loss occurs when electrons or ions participates in side reactions (Bard & Faulkner, 2004; "Cyclic voltammetry.").

Figure 3.4.3 Redox behavior of CNS with 1 M NaOH and different concentrations of LiNO₃ as the electrolyte. Scan Rate 5mv s⁻¹. The voltammogram represents the 4ᵗʰ cycle.

3.4.3 Comparing different bases with 8 mM LiNO₃

Once promising results were obtained by using a base with LiNO₃ as the nitrate donor, different bases were investigated to further understand the reactions. Table 3.4.3 compares different bases with LiNO₃ as the nitrate donor. The highest reduction efficiency was observed with lithium hydroxide as the base and lithium nitrate as the nitrate donor. Lithium ions play an
important role in reducing nitrate. The ionization energies would suggest that lithium would be the weakest reducing agent out of the alkali metals. However, the reduction potential implies that lithium is the strongest reducing agent.

Table 3.4.3 Quantitative tests before and after the reaction with different bases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Reaction</th>
<th>After Reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrate (ppm)</td>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH. 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM LiOH</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM LiOH. 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM KOH</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM KOH. 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM NaOH</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50mM NaOH. 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4.4 compares the Cyclic Voltammograms observed for the carbon nanospiked electrode at 100 mV s⁻¹ in different bases with 8 mM LiNO₃ as the electrolyte. Purple line represents 50 mM NaOH with 8 mM LiNO₃. Red line represents 50 mM LiOH with 8mM LiNO₃.
Green line represents 50 mM KOH with 8 mM LiNO₃. LiOH has a more steeper slope than NaOH. This could be possible due to a lower ionic resistance.

Figure 3.4.4 Redox behavior of CNS with 1M NaOH 8 mM LiNO₃, 50 mM LiOH 8 mM LiNO₃, and 50 mM OH 8 mM LiNO₃ as the electrolyte.

Figure 3.4.5 shows the SEM images of the carbon nanospiked electrode after the reaction occurred. Elemental analyses show presence of nitrogen, carbon, lithium, oxygen, and sodium on the surface of the electrode. Big white structure at the right end corner is likely salt.
Figure 3.4.5 SEM images of 50 mM LiOH. 8 mM LiNO₃ after the reaction a) with salt deposit on it b) zoomed in on the unknown white circular disk
Figure 3.4.6 shows the quantitative tests for 50 mM LiOH. 8 mM LiNO₃ before and after the reaction. Figure 3.4.6.a shows the concentration nitrate and nitrite tests before and after the reaction. The nitrate concentration changes from 160 ppm to 40 ppm, while the concentration nitrite changes from 0ppm to 3 ppm. Salicylate-hypochlorite tests give a light green color, suggesting the presence of moderately low amount of ammonia.

Figure 3.4.6 Quantification Tests for 50 mM LiOH. 8 mM LiNO₃ a) nitrite and nitrate test strip before and after the reaction b) salicylate-hypochlorite test for ammonia
3.5 Possible reaction mechanism

Nitrite is a possible intermediate product. The presence of ammonia suggests that the nitrite was further reduced. Absence of ammonia possibly suggests that the ammonia was further reduced to nitrogen and water. The hydrogen ions are supplied from the water in the electrolyte.

\[
\begin{align*}
NO_3^- + 2H^+ + 2e^- & \rightarrow NO_2^- + H_2O \\
NO_2^- + 7H^+ + 6e^- & \rightarrow NH_3 + 2H_2O \\
NH_4^+ + NO_2^- & \rightarrow N_2 + H_2O
\end{align*}
\]
CHAPTER 4
CONCLUSION and FUTURE WORK

Strong electrolytes dissociate completely in water. It also conducts current efficiently. After testing acid and bases, the results indicated that bases were preferred for nitrate reduction over acids. The results clearly suggest that lithium ion is necessary in the electrochemical reduction of nitrate. Using high concentrations of nitrate hasn’t been beneficial. However, there is a possibility of nitrite further reaction with ammonia ion to form nitrogen and water. Further tests need to be conducted to prove this hypothesis.

Further, low pH produces less reduction of $NO_3^-$ because the electro reduction reaction favors the production of $H_2$ gas. Lithium is an excellent reducing agent, and highest reduction efficiency was observed while using LiOH as the electrolyte with LiNO$_3$ at the nitrate source. Furthermore, faradaic efficiency needs to be calculated in order to quantify the products in moles.

This is a novel method and the reactions are not fully understood, which is why it is necessary to conduct further experiments to fully understand the nature of the reactions. Preliminary results also suggest that there is a possibility of nitrite reacting with NH$_4^+$ and forming N$_2$ and H$_2$O. Quantification tests needs to be run to confirm the formation of N$_2$ and H$_2$O. IR spectroscopy or Differential electrochemical mass spectroscopy (DEMS) could be used to quantify the presence of nitrogen.
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APPENDIX A

LIST OF ALL THE EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Before Nitrate (ppm)</th>
<th>reaction Ammonia (ppm)</th>
<th>After Nitrate (ppm)</th>
<th>Reaction Ammonia (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/14/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/15/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/16/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄, 8mM KNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/17/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM KNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/23/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/24/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/24/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/27/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/28/16</td>
<td>50mM H₂SO₄, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>80-160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>80-160</td>
<td>0.5-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/29/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/5/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/6/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/7/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/11/16</td>
<td>8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12/16</td>
<td>8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/14/16</td>
<td>50mM LiOH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/16</td>
<td>50mM LiOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/19/16</td>
<td>50mM LiOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/19/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 20mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/21/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 50mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/16</td>
<td>50mM KOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/16</td>
<td>50mM KOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>80&lt;x&lt;160</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/3/16</td>
<td>1M NaOH, 20mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/4/16</td>
<td>50mM KOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/16</td>
<td>50M NaOH, 8mM LiNO₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>80&lt;x&lt;160</td>
<td>5-10ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

CYCLIC VOLTAMMOGRAMS OF EXPERIMENTS WITH VARIOUS SCAN RATES
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